

The GoNano Online Conference

*RESPONSIVENESS TO SOCIETAL NEEDS AND VALUES IN NANOTECHNOLOGIES AND
BEYOND*

Work Package:	WP6
Deliverable number:	D6.4
Partner responsible:	The Danish Board of Technology Foundation
Compiling author(s):	Mette Marie Simonsen, Sigrid Vedel Neuhaus (DBT)
Contributing author(s):	Lise Bitsch (DBT), Vanessa Moore (EIWH), Craig Richmond (RMIT), Harald Throne-Holst (OsloMET), Daan Schuubiers (DPF), Anne Dijkstra, Sikke Jansma (UT), Lenka Hebáková, Marek Pour (TC)
Quality assurance:	Mette Simonsen (DBT)
Planned delivery date:	Month 40
Actual delivery date:	Month 40
Dissemination level:	PU <i>PU = Public</i> <i>PP = Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)</i> <i>RE = Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)</i> <i>CO = Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)</i>

CONTENT

Executive summary.....	3
Programme	4
Sessions.....	7
The GoNano Experience: Inclusiveness and engagement (Day 1).....	7
Gender and diversity in research and innovation (Day 1).....	7
Experiences and testimonials from the GoNano Pilot partners (Day 2).....	8
Experiences and testimonials from citizens, experts and other stakeholders taking part in the GoNano events (Day 2)	8
The GoNano co-creation toolkit (Day 2).....	8
Supporting and practicing responsiveness to societal needs and values in research and innovation (Day 3).....	8
Working with societal engagement and co-creation in H2020 and beyond (Day 3)	8
Participants.....	9
Technical set up	14
Lessons learned	16
Drawings.....	15
Materials.....	16

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The GoNano Online conference on Responsiveness to Societal Needs and Values in Nanotechnologies and Beyond was originally planned to take place in Brussels in June 2020. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, it was postponed and transformed into an online event.

The programme was divided into three online conference days of 2-3 hours, which took place on 29 October, 5 November and 12 November.

Over 150 individuals registered for the conference and despite the anticipated dropout rate for an online event, around 50 viewers were present throughout each of the three sessions.

Going online also meant reaching a broader audience from all over the world as well as a high production value creating legacy material that will continue to reach beyond the audience participating on the day.

PROGRAMME

THE GONANO ONLINE CONFERENCE ON RESPONSIVENESS TO SOCIETAL NEEDS AND VALUES IN NANOTECHNOLOGIES AND BEYOND – PROGRAMME



DAY 1

SESSION 1

STRENGTHENING INCLUSIVE CO-CREATION MOVING TOWARDS HORIZONEUROPE 29 OCTOBER 2020 AT 13.00-16.00 CET

13.00 (CET)	THE GONANO EXPERIENCE: INCLUSIVENESS AND ENGAGEMENT OPENING <i>Outcomes and recommendations for strengthening co-creation, moving from H2020 towards HorizonEurope</i> Nicholas Deliyannis, DPhil, Head of Sector, Economic and Social Transitions, European Commission, DG Research & Innovation Lise Bitsch, PhD, GoNano Coordinator and Senior Project Manager, The Danish Board of Technology Foundation Hosted by Lise Bitsch, The Danish Board of Technology
14.25 (CET)	BREAK
14.35 (CET)	GENDER AND DIVERSITY IN RESEARCH AND INNOVATION TALK <i>Experiences with introducing reflections on gender and diversity in co-creation exercises</i> Vanessa Moore, from the GoNano Consortium and Senior Researcher with the European Institute of Women's Health Anne Pépin, PhD, Senior Policy Officer, DG Research and Innovation (RTD), European Commission Hosted by Vanessa Moore, The European Institute of Women's Health
16.00 (CET)	NETWORKING AND DISCUSSION IN ZOOM

THE GONANO ONLINE CONFERENCE ON RESPONSIVENESS TO SOCIETAL NEEDS AND VALUES IN NANOTECHNOLOGIES AND BEYOND – PROGRAMME



DAY 2

SESSION 2

HOW TO CO-CREATE – EXPERIENCES AND TOOLS

5 NOVEMBER 2020 AT 13.00-16.00 CET

13.00 (CET)	EXPERIENCES AND TESTIMONIALS FROM THE GONANO PILOT PARTNERS PANEL <i>The GoNano pilot partners share their experiences of participating in the co-creation process</i>
	Daan Schuurbijs, PhD, Director of De Proeffabriek. Craig Richmond, PhD, GoNano research fellow and responsible for the pilot study on energy within the GoNano project. Anne Dijkstra, PhD, Assistant Professor in Science Communication at the University of Twente. Lenka Hebáková, Project Manager, Technology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences (TC CAS) Sikke Jansma, Researcher and lecturer at the department of Communication Science, University of Twente Marek Pour, Junior researcher at Technology Centre CAS working on technology assessment projects
	Hosted by RMIT Europe, De Proeffabriek, Technology Centre CAS and the University of Twente
14.00 (CET)	BREAK
14.05 (CET)	EXPERIENCES AND TESTIMONIALS FROM THE CITIZENS, RESEARCHERS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS TAKING PART IN THE GONANO EVENTS INTERVIEWS <i>The GoNano pilot partners, involved stakeholders and citizens share their experiences of participating in the co-creation process</i>
	Adriënne Sips, Research Coordinator Nanotechnology at the National Institute for Public Health in the Netherlands (RIVM) Luboš Komárek, Chairman of the Board, Nanoprogress – Nanotechnology research and development cluster Michaela Jedličková, The Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic, Food safety department Marek Lukesle, Vratné lahve, Founder and CEO of sustainable development food packaging company
	Hosted by RMIT Europe, De Proeffabriek, Technology Centre CAS and University of Twente
14:45 (CET)	THE GONANO CO-CREATION TOOLKIT
15.05 – (CET)	NETWORKING AND DISCUSSION IN BREAKOUT ROOMS

THE GoNANO ONLINE CONFERENCE ON RESPONSIVENESS TO SOCIETAL NEEDS AND VALUES IN NANOTECHNOLOGIES AND BEYOND – PROGRAMME



DAY 3

SESSION 3

PRACTICING SOCIETAL ENGAGEMENT IN RESEARCH AND INNOVATION

12 NOVEMBER 2020 AT 13.00-16.00 CET

13:00 (CET)	SUPPORTING AND PRACTICING RESPONSIVENESS TO SOCIETAL NEEDS AND VALUES IN RESEARCH AND INNOVATION ROUND TABLE <i>Presentation of the GoNano White Papers and discussion on societal engagement embeddedness in research and innovation, challenges, and policy recommendations, with a point of departure in experiences from European Technology Platforms and Networks</i> Nicholas Deliyannis, DPhil, Head of Sector, Economic and Social Transitions, European Commission, DG Research & Innovation Ana Maria Rincon, PhD, Senior Scientific Officer, FIP Unit / Repro Department, European Food and Safety Authority Anne Pépin, PhD, Senior Policy Officer, DG Research and Innovation (RTD), European Commission Wim Sinke, PhD, Principal Scientist Solar Energy, TNO Energy Transition, and on the GoNano Advisory Panel Andrej Kobe, Policy Officer – Sustainable Chemicals, European Commission, DG Environment Lucie Vysloužilová, PhD, Quality Manager at Nanopharma Hosted by Lise Bitsch, The Danish Board of Technology
14:30 (CET)	BREAK
14:40 (CET)	WORKING WITH SOCIETAL ENGAGEMENT AND CO-CREATION IN H2020-PROJECTS AND BEYOND PERSPECTIVES <i>Lessons learned in H2020 on societal engagement and Responsible Research and Innovation</i> Kutoma J. Wakunuma, PhD, RRING Partner and Associate Professor, School of Computer Science and Informatics, De Montfort University Ditte Degnbol, PhD, RiConfigure Coordinator and Senior Project Manager, The Danish Board of Technology Foundation Carmen Fenollosa, SISCODE Partner and Senior Project Manager, Ecsite Robert Braun, PhD, NewHoRRizon Partner and Senior Researcher, Techno-Science & Societal Transformation, Institute for Advanced Studies Hosted by Harald Throne-Holst Oslo Metropolitan University
15.55 – (CET)	NETWORKING AND DISCUSSION IN ZOOM



GoNano is a Coordination and Support Action funded by the European Union under the NMBP Programme of Horizon 2020, Grant Agreement n° 768622.

Find us on   

SESSIONS

THE GONANO EXPERIENCE: INCLUSIVENESS AND ENGAGEMENT (DAY 1)

To set the scene Nicholas Deliyannis, Head of Sector, Economic and Social Transitions, European Commission, DG Research and Innovation, spoke on inclusiveness, engagement and societal elements in Cluster 4 'Digital, Industry and Space'. He moreover looked towards the future and HorizonEurope – ideas that has been explored for the first work programme and the role of co-creation herein. The talk was followed by a Q&A session with discussions on challenges and good examples of co-creation.

Afterwards the project coordinator and conference host, Lise Bitsch, Senior Project Manager, The Danish Board of Technology Foundation, took us on the journey of GoNano, from the initial ideas and motivation to the project outcomes and lessons learned. The introduction was followed by questions from the audience touching upon the link between co-creation and RRI, incentives for citizens and stakeholders as well as audience for the GoNano co-creation tools.

Watch the full session [here](#).

GENDER AND DIVERSITY IN RESEARCH AND INNOVATION (DAY 1)

The EIWH hosted the second session on the first day of the conference, entitled Gender and diversity in research and innovation.

Vanessa Moore, senior researcher in the EIWH opened the session with a presentation on gender and diversity in the GoNano project. Focusing on the contributions made to the area of gender and diversity in GoNano, Vanessa first outlined the findings from the literature review and social media mapping carried out in the GoNano project in relation to gender and diversity. These findings include the fact that women are underrepresented in STEM; the issues are largely structural and systemic; and that men's norms have shaped the area. In relation to diversity, STEM tends to be overwhelmingly white, and the importance of applying the principle of intersectionality to issues of diversity, and gender. She continued by explaining the detrimental effects on innovation and dialogue that a lack of gender and diversity has. She finished by describing how gender and diversity have been given a central role in the GoNano co-creation methodology, and how it was effectively applied in the GoNano co-creation workshops.

The next speaker was Dr Anne Pépin, Senior Policy Officer, Gender Sector, DG Research and Innovation, European Commission. Dr Pépin opened by describing the new European Commission Gender Equality Strategy 2020-2025, and the measures it included, such as initiatives to increase the number of women-led technology start-ups and funding for gender and intersectional research. Addressing gender biases in AI, and debunking gender stereotypes. She pointed out that persisting gender inequalities in European R&I hinders the potential of the new European Research Area, and inclusiveness issues also needs to be addressed. As a result, Gender Equality Plans (GEPs) are being rolled out by the EC and recommended area to be covered are world-life balance and organisational culture; gender balance in leadership and decision-making; gender equality in recruitment and career progression; integration of the gender dimension into research and teaching content; and measures against gender-based violence, including sexual harassment. In addition, she also outlined the provisions strengthening gender equality in Horizon Europe.

The two presentations were followed by a Q&A session, where questions included how to best approach a seemingly uninterested and uninvested person in relation to furthering issues of gender and diversity.

Watch the full session [here](#).

EXPERIENCES AND TESTIMONIALS FROM THE GoNANO PILOT PARTNERS (DAY 2)

This session recapped on the GoNano co-creation process as experienced by the partners most involved in implementing it. A series of three short presentations on the citizen and stakeholder workshops and the online consultation were each followed by an open discussion and Q&A. Audience members were invited to share their views verbally, but most chose to do this through the Slido app.

Watch the full session [here](#).

EXPERIENCES AND TESTIMONIALS FROM CITIZENS, EXPERTS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS TAKING PART IN THE GoNANO EVENTS (DAY 2)

To offer a balanced presentation of the GoNano co-creation process, a selection of participants from the co-creation process were invited to share their experiences. The participants invited to speak covered the range of stakeholders and countries involved in the process. The shared experiences and responses to questions painted a mostly positive case for co-creation and found consensus in that it is beneficial but hard.

Watch the full session [here](#).

THE GoNANO CO-CREATION TOOLKIT (DAY 2)

A live demonstration of the GoNano co-creation toolkit highlighted the many useful tools and outputs produced by the project and served as a quick tutorial for navigating the content.

Watch the full session [here](#).

SUPPORTING AND PRACTICING RESPONSIVENESS TO SOCIETAL NEEDS AND VALUES IN RESEARCH AND INNOVATION (DAY 3)

A policy round table with a wealth of expertise and insight from EU policy and innovation strategies of nano and key enabling technologies, food, and food safety, sustainable chemicals, gender equality, from industry, on health and nano-pharmacy, and from public research organisation on solar energy and energy transition.

The session started off with an introduction to the three GoNano White Papers. Followed by reflections and discussions on the role of policymakers in supporting co-creation in research and innovation, opportunities for mutual learning and nurturing co-creative spaces that includes different types of expertise and citizens as well as addressing stereotyping, implicit discrimination and gender issues and the role of the industry in doing so.

Watch the full session [here](#).

WORKING WITH SOCIETAL ENGAGEMENT AND CO-CREATION IN H2020 AND BEYOND (DAY 3)

In this part of the session, we got to hear from other projects on societal engagement and financed through H2020. One representative from four different projects got 10 minutes each to

address some pertinent issues, and some of their findings. A common thread in the presentations were on the desire to involve CSOs, as well as the CSOs desire to get involved. The panel had an interesting discussion on power asymmetries between CSOs (and citizen-consumers) and researchers (often with a background in the 'hard sciences'). Dr. Wakunuma pointed out that power asymmetries should be addressed from the onset – All participants bring something to the table. And what they bring should be valued at equal measure. It is about different expertise. Ms Fellonosa emphasised the need to carefully design process that acknowledge that there indeed are such power asymmetries. Someone needs to let go of power, or “Leave your institutional ego at the door”, when you join engagement processes. Dr Degnbol pointed to the issue of funding. Processes of engagement and co-creation are time-consuming. They are often rather ‘messy’ processes. Finally, Dr Braun highlighted that power is a social construct. The current construct favours excellence, technology optimism. He suggested we need to change this social construct of power, so those that represent social values can gain more traction.

Watch the full session [here](#).

All three days were followed by an informal discussion and networking in Zoom. For the first day we did not manage to share the link with the audience properly, however for the second and the third day we managed to make the link visible and quite a few members from the audience joined to continue the conversation after the official programme, which lead to some very fruitful discussions.

PARTICIPANTS

153 participants registered for the conference, most for all three days, and a few for one or two days. The conference reached a broad audience with different professional background – industry, nano researchers, societal engagement researcher as well as students, coming from all over the world.

Throughout the three conference days we reached up to 59 participants live at once, with 77-133 unique viewers for each day.

What stakeholder group do you belong to?

0 3 6

Policy makers

6 %

Citizens

0 %

Industry

6 %

Researchers

61 %

Civil Society Organisations

8 %

Other

19 %

Figure 1 – Audience input from Day 2, Experiences and testimonials from the GoNano Pilot Partners

Due the General Data Protection Regulation the registered participants are only listed by organisation and country:

Organisation	Country
University of Badji mokhtar Annaba	Algeria
Mustapha Stambouli Mascara University	Algeria
Mascara university, Algeria	Algeria
University of Badji mokhtar Annaba, Algeria	Algeria
mascara university	Algeriet
Universidad Nacional de Cordoba	Argentina
National University of Hurlingham, Argentina	Argentina
RMIT University	Australia
The University of Sydney	Australia
Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety (AGES)	Austria
Rajshahi University of Engineering & Technology	Bangladesh
Brac Uni	Bangladesh
imec	Belgium
AcumenIST	Belgium
Stickydot srl	Belgium
Stickydot	Belgium
UNIVERSIDAD PEDAGÓGICA Y TECNOLÓGICA DE COLOMBIA	Colombia
UPTC	Colombia

Technical University of Liberec	Czech Republic
TC CAS	Czech Republic
Technology Centre CAS	Czech Republic
Fonden Teknologirådet	Denmark
PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA MADRE Y MAESTRA	Dominican Republic
Yachay Tech University	Ecuador
Yachay Tech University	Ecuador
Yachay Tech	Ecuador
Yachay Tech University	Ecuador
Yachay Tech	Ecuador
European Chemicals Agency	EU
European Commission	EU
European Commission	EU
VTT	Finland
Socio-technica	Finland
Finnish Institute of Occupational Health	Finland
Finnish Institute of Occupational Health	Finland
SPARTHA MEDICAL	France
University grenoble alpes	France
Silicon Saxony	Germany
University Of Kassel	Germany
Coordination Center for transformative research in areas of intensive agriculture	Germany
TÜV Rheinland	Germany
ITAS - KIT	Germany
Science Park of Crete	Greece
IIT-BHU, Varanasi	India
G H Raison College of Engineering and Management, Pune, India	India
Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya	India
Dayalbagh educational institute agra	India
Institute technology of National- Bandung, Indonesia	Indonesia
Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie	Italy
Istituto Zooprofilattico sperimentale delle Venezie	Italy
MBN nanomaterialia SPA	Italy
SAPIENZA UNIVERSITY OF ROME	Italy
TCT Nanotech	Italy
ISPRA	Italy
La Sapienza University of Rome	Italy
Ecoinnovazione	Italy
La Sapienza University of Rome	Italy
Warrant Hub	Italy
University of Salerno	Italy
CNR - IMM Institute	Italy

UNIVERSITA' SAPIENZA	Italy
University of Pavia	Italy
Labor srl	Italy
Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilio	Italy
PANDORA GROUP S.r.l.	Italy
University of Modena and Reggio Emilia	Italy
CNR-ISMN	Italy
Sapienza University	Italy
Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia	Italy
Sapienza university	Italy
Sapienza di Roma	Italy
Istituto Superiore di Sanità	Italy
sapienza university roma	Italy
FONDAZIONE GIANNINO BASSETTI	Italy
Kochi University of Technology	Japan
UPB	Latvia
MINISTRY OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION MALAYSIA	Malaysia
UTEZ	Mexico
Centro de Investigación en Materiales Avanzados, S.C. MEXICO	Mexico
Aspelab	Mexico
National Autonomous University of Mexico	Mexico
National Autonomous University of Mexico	Mexico
Sintef	Norway
NMBU	Norway
NTNU	Norway
NMBU	Norway
NED University of engineering and technology Karachi	Pakistan
UNMSM	Peru
NanoBioMedical Centre, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznan, Poland	Poland
Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa	Portugal
Horia Hulubei National Institute for Research and Development in Physics and Nuclear Engineering	Romania
ITE	Singapore
RRA Zeleni kras d.o.o.	Slovenia
LEITAT Technological Center	Spain
Ideas for Change	Spain
Institute of Microelectronics of Barcelona IMB-CNM-CSIC	Spain
Ideas For Change	Spain
Catalan Society of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology	Spain
IAAC	Spain
Ideas for Change	Spain
IREC (Institut de Recerca en Energia de Catalunya)	Spain

ICFO	Spain
ICN2	Spain
UOC	Spain
Icmab	Spain
Catalan Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology	Spain
ICMAB-CSIC	Spain
CSIC	Spain
Science for Change	Spain
ICFO	Spain
IAAC	Spain
TECNALIA RESEARCH AND INNOVATION	Spain
IREC	Spain
RMIT Europe	Spain
Positiveness.es	Spain
Ideas for change	Spain
Lulea technical university	Sweden
Chalmers University of Technology	Sweden
ESD center	Sweden
Asian Institute of Technology	Thailand
Radboud University Nijmegen	The Netherlands
National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM)	The Netherlands
RIVM, Netherlands	The Netherlands
RIVM - Dutch National Institute for Public Health and the Environment	The Netherlands
Bureau KLB	The Netherlands
Malsch TechnoValuation	The Netherlands
Utrecht University	The Netherlands
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam	The Netherlands
RIVM	The Netherlands
University of Manchester	UK
TRANZMIND	Unknown
Sapienza	Unknown
UAB	Unknown
ISS	Unknown
independent	Unknown
University of technology and applied sciences	Unknown
Akad.FDL	Unknown
Independent	Unknown
SCN2	Unknown
Centre for Social Innovation	Unknown
High Expert	Unknown
Symlog	Unknown
Editor of 'NanoEthics: Studies of New and Emerging Technologies'	Unknown

Institut Symlog	Unknown
ENEA	Unknown
VIW	Unknown
Ministry of Agriculture	Unknown
Public Impact	Unknown
Nexteria	Unknown
THCBD LLC	USA
THCBD LLC	USA
Mars Wrigley	USA
Recent WU Graduate	Vienna

TECHNICAL SET UP

The first and the third day of the conference were lived streamed on YouTube. The audience could give input through sli.do, a web-based platform where it is possible to ask questions in the Q&A section contribute to live polls.

The second conference day was hosted in a Zoom call as this section was planned to be more interactive. The audience was invited to join the discussion sessions and ask questions verbally or to give input through the sli.do platform. Sli.do was preferred.

After each day, the audience was invited to join a Zoom call for more casual discussions and networking. There was no official agenda which resulted in some very interesting questions and free flowing participatory discussions.

The technical set up was chosen for two reasons: First, the high-quality production t has created three excellent videos that are accessible for continued viewing on the GoNano Project YouTube Channel. Secondly, sli.do offered a route for the audience to give their input and ask questions, which allowed the conference to be engaging and participatory despite the online format.

What would your dream outcome be if you organised a co-creation event?

0 1 8

Technology transfer with go to market access
 high levels of participation by people from different backgrounds
 True public engagement inspiration diversity of methods

A real shift in a research project

Inclusive solutions

unprecedented interdisciplinary collaboration empowerment
 diversity of ideas resolving opposing positions, conflict
 praxis in an interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary sense
 Better products policy recommendation Sustainable networking
 Redesign of the technology a commitment to the uptake of its results
 Something surprising (unexpected before)

Figure 2 - Audience input from Day 1, The GoNano Experience: Inclusiveness and Engagement

LIVE DRAWINGS

The essence of the first and the third days of the conference was captured as live drawings by Søren Jepsen, Art Director at the Danish Board of Technology Foundation. The purpose of these live drawings was to create a visual record of the event, which was an interesting activity in itself as well as a source of graphical content for dissemination afterwards.

GENDER DIVERSITY




Figure 3 - Live drawing from Day 1, Gender and diversity in research and innovation

LESSONS LEARNED

The GoNano Final conference was considered a great success, despite having to change the format into an online setting. In hindsight a few lessons stands out:

Avoid long monologues. It is important to keep the format in mind when planning the event. Long talks are more tiring online.

Interaction on screen. Although the distance is undeniable in an online conference two aspects in particular made the sessions more interactive. First, the function of the chat moderator did not only have a practical role in keeping track of audience input in sli.do in order to support the host, but the conversation between the host and chat moderator also made the session livelier and more interactive. This positive contribution of the chat moderator was also highlighted in the third day when the chat moderator was disconnected throughout most of the day due to a power outage.

Interaction with the audience. Sli.do was very useful for the audience to ask questions during Q&A sessions, also allowing people who are not comfortable speaking in larger crowds to ask questions. It was moreover a great tool to engage the audience during presentations. However, when asking the audience to give input it is important also to collect up on this input.

MATERIALS

All material from the conference has been collected and made available on the [GoNano website](#). This includes conference recordings along with presentations, live drawings, and audience input.

The recordings of all three days are moreover available on the GoNano-project YouTube channel:



The GoNano Conference
Responsiveness to Societal Needs and Values in Nanotechnologies and Beyond

We want to hear from you throughout the conference!
Join the debate through Sli.do – we recommend using sli.do on your phone. Either in the browser or by downloading the app.

Download the APP or go to Sli.do.
You can join on your phone or computer

Scan the QR code or join with the code #gonano at www.Sli.do

Going Live In:
00:14:53

Logos of partner institutions: GoNano, TEKNOLOGIRÅDET, OSLOMET, UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE, nanotec IT, Aalborg University, ITA, GIEL, RMIT UNIVERSITY, and the European Union flag.

[Day 1 | Strengthening Inclusive co-creation moving towards Horizon Europe](#)

[Day 2 | How to co-create – experiences and tools](#)

[Day 3 | Practicing societal engagement in research and innovation](#)